

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JULIO SANDOVAL,

Petitioner,

v.

ON HABEAS CORPUS,

Respondent.

Case No.: 1:20-cv-01224-JLT (HC)

ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Petitioner has filed a habeas corpus action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, together with an application to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915. The application has not been ruled on by this Court.

The federal venue statute requires that a civil action, other than one based on diversity jurisdiction, be brought only in “(1) a judicial district in which any defendant resides, if all defendants are residents of the State in which the district is located; (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of property that is the subject of the action is situated; or (3) if there is no district in which an action may otherwise be brought as provided in this section, any judicial district in which any defendant is subject to the court’s personal jurisdiction with respect to such action.” 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

In this case, the petitioner is challenging a conviction from Santa Clara County, which is in the Northern District of California. Therefore, the petition should have been filed in the United

1 States District Court for the Northern District of California. In the interest of justice, a federal court
2 may transfer a case filed in the wrong district to the correct district. See 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a);
3 Starnes v. McGuire, 512 F.2d 918, 932 (D.C. Cir. 1974).

4 Accordingly, the Court ORDERS that this matter be transferred to the United States District
5 Court for the Northern District of California.

6
7 IT IS SO ORDERED.

8 Dated: September 1, 2020

/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE